

The low-temperature specific heat anomaly of the SU(2) invariant 1D Heisenberg antiferromagnet of spin S in a small magnetic field

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 1679

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/3/11/025>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.96

The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 22:57

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The low-temperature specific heat anomaly of the SU(2) invariant 1D Heisenberg antiferromagnet of spin S in a small magnetic field

Kong-Ju-Bock Lee

Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

Received 12 December 1990

Abstract. It is expected that the specific heat of the SU(2)-invariant 1D Heisenberg antiferromagnet of spin S is linear to the temperature at low T with the linear coefficient γ_S being a function of field H . Our main result is that $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = \frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi] \neq \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = 2S/(1 + S)$. This extends the previous result for $S = \frac{1}{2}$ to other spin values. We also provide an approximate interpolation formula between these two limits as a function of H/T for very small H and T .

It is well known by the Bethe-ansatz method that the quantum spin chains show much non-trivial behaviour. In particular, the logarithmic singularities appear to be common in the integrable quantum spin chains with SU symmetry. The magnetic susceptibility at zero temperature of the SU(2)-invariant isotropic Heisenberg chain of spin S with an antiferromagnetic coupling J in a small magnetic field is given by ($J = 1$)

$$\chi(0, H) = (4S/\pi^2)(1 + S/|\ln H| - S^2 \ln|\ln H|/\ln^2 H + \dots) \tag{1}$$

which shows logarithmic singularities as $H \rightarrow 0$. The constant zero-field χ was obtained by Griffiths (1964), the first logarithmic correction by Babujian (1983) and the second one by Lee and Schlottmann (1987).

The zero-field specific heat of the SU(2)-invariant Heisenberg antiferromagnet of spin S has been studied by Babujian by analysing the thermodynamic Bethe-ansatz equations. He found that the specific heat $C^{H=0} = \gamma_S T$ in the low temperature region with

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{2S-1} \int_0^{x_n} dx \left(\frac{\ln(1-x)}{x} + \frac{\ln x}{1-x} \right) \tag{2}$$

where

$$x_n = \sin^2[\pi/(2S + 2)]/\sin^2[\pi(n + 1)/(2S + 2)].$$

Note that the integral cannot be evaluated exactly except for $\gamma_{1/2} = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\gamma_1 = 1$. However, the numerical calculation shows that the zero-field γ_S converges to the value

corresponding to that obtained by the prediction of the critical behaviour of 1D quantum spin systems via conformal field theory (Affleck 1986), i.e.,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = 2S/(1 + S). \tag{3}$$

For this reason, from now on, we are going to use this expression instead of (2).

In this letter we report the anomalous properties of the specific heat for $T \ll J$ and $2SH \ll J$ by extending the arguments for $S = \frac{1}{2}$ (Lee and Schlottmann 1989) to the higher spins. Our main result is that the linear coefficient of the specific heat, γ_S , depends on the way the singular point $H = T = 0$ is approached, i.e.,

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = \frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi] \neq \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = 2S/(1 + S) \tag{4}$$

where Γ is the gamma function. We also obtain an approximate interpolation formula between these two limits for situations in which H and T tend to zero simultaneously.

The SU(2) generalization of the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain is given by (Kulish *et al* 1981)

$$H_S = J \sum_{i=1}^N Q_{2S}(S_i \cdot S_{i+1}) - 2H \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^z \tag{5}$$

where J is the antiferromagnetic coupling constant and

$$Q_{2S}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2S} (\psi(j + 1) - \psi(1)) \prod_{l \neq j}^{2S} \frac{x - x_l}{x_j - x_l} \tag{6}$$

with $x_l = \frac{1}{2}[l(l + 1) - 2S(S + 1)]$ and ψ the digamma function.

The excited states of the model consist of magnons and bound states of these magnons. Each bound state of n magnons is characterized by the thermodynamic energy potential $\epsilon_n(\lambda)$, where λ is a real rapidity and related to the momentum. $\epsilon_n(\lambda)$ where $n = 1, \dots, \infty$, is then determined by the so-called thermodynamic Bethe-ansatz equations (Babujian 1983)

$$\ln(1 + e^{\epsilon_n/T}) = 2n \frac{H}{T} - 2\pi \frac{J}{T} A_{n,2S} * G + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{n,m} * \ln(1 + e^{-\epsilon_m/T}) \tag{7}$$

where the centre asterisk denotes a convolution, $G(\lambda) = (4 \cosh(\lambda\pi/2))^{-1}$ and

$$A_{n,m}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega\lambda} \coth|\omega| (e^{-|n-m||\omega|} - e^{-(n+m)|\omega|}).$$

The free energy per site is given by

$$F(T, H) = F(0, 0) - T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda G(\lambda) \ln(1 + e^{\epsilon_{2S}/T}) \tag{8}$$

where

$$F(0, 0) = \begin{cases} -J \sum_{k=1}^S \frac{1}{2k-1} & \text{for integer } S \\ -J \ln 2 - J \sum_{k=1}^{S-1/2} \frac{1}{2k} & \text{for half-integer } S \end{cases}$$

It has been shown that the $\epsilon_n(\lambda)$ for $n \neq 2S$ are positive for all λ , while $\epsilon_{2S}(\lambda)$ changes

sign when $H \neq 0$. We define a parameter B such that $\varepsilon_{2S}(\pm B) = 0$ since the $\varepsilon_n(\lambda)$ are symmetric and monotonically increasing for $\lambda > 0$. As a consequence of $\varepsilon_{n \neq 2S} > 0$, in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ with finite field we have a contribution of only $m = 2S$ to the integral in equation (7). Hence we can rewrite the integral equation (7) for $n = 2S$ (note that we need only $\varepsilon_{2S}(\lambda)$ to obtain the free energy in equation (8)) as

$$\varepsilon_{2S}(\lambda) = H - 2\pi JG(\lambda) + K * T \ln(1 + e^{\varepsilon_{2S}/T}) \tag{9}$$

where the integral kernel is given by

$$K(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega\lambda} \frac{\sinh(2S-1)|\omega| + e^{-|\omega|} \sinh 2S|\omega|}{2 \cosh|\omega| \sinh 2S|\omega|}. \tag{10}$$

It is sufficient to expand $\varepsilon_{2S}(\lambda)$ to order T^2 to evaluate the low temperature specific heat coefficient in the field, i.e., $\varepsilon_{2S} \approx \varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)} + T^2 \varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}$. We then have the integral equations for $\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}$ and $\varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}$:

$$\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}(\lambda) = H - 2\pi JG(\lambda) + 2 \int_B^{\infty} d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda') \varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}(\lambda') \tag{11}$$

$$\varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}(\lambda) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B^{-1} [K(\lambda + B) + K(\lambda - B)] + 2 \int_B^{\infty} d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda') \varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}(\lambda'). \tag{12}$$

Here we have used the Sommerfeld formula to expand $\ln(1 + e^{\varepsilon_{2S}/T})$ at low T . The free energy is expressed by

$$F(T, H) = F(0, 0) - 2 \int_B^{\infty} d\lambda G(\lambda) \varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}(\lambda) - T^2 \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} \left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B^{-1} G(B) + 2 \int_B^{\infty} d\lambda G(\lambda) \varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}(\lambda) \right). \tag{13}$$

Equation (11) was solved by Babujian (1983) for very small fields yielding the following useful relations

$$B \sim -(2/\pi) \ln H$$

$$\left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B = \frac{\pi H}{4\sqrt{S}} \left(1 + \frac{S}{2|\ln H|} - \frac{S^2 \ln |\ln H|}{2 \ln^2 H} + \dots \right). \tag{14}$$

Note that the parameter B tends to infinity as $H \rightarrow 0$.

To obtain $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S$, we can take the limit $B \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (13). However we have to be careful in doing this because the integral involving $\varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}(\lambda)$ contributes to the γ_S values with the same order of $\left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B^{-1} G(B)$. Hence we cannot simply neglect the integral. For this reason, we have to solve the integral equation (12) to obtain the linear specific heat coefficient γ_S in finite field. For this purpose let us define $\varphi(\lambda) = \varepsilon_{2S}^{(2)}(\lambda + B)$ which satisfies the Wiener-Hopf-type integral equation

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B^{-1} [K(\lambda) + K(\lambda + B)] + \int_0^{\infty} d\lambda' [K(\lambda - \lambda') + K(\lambda + \lambda' + B)] \varphi(\lambda').$$

Since we are interested in a small field (i.e., in a large B) and $K(B) \sim 1/B$ for large B , we solve the equation of $\varphi(\lambda)$ iteratively, $\varphi(\lambda) \approx \varphi_1(\lambda) + \varphi_2(\lambda) + \dots$, with φ_2 being of higher order in $1/B$ than φ_1 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(\lambda) &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B^{-1} K(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda') \varphi_1(\lambda') \\ \varphi_2(\lambda) &= \varphi_1(-\lambda - 2B) + \int_0^\infty d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda') \varphi_2(\lambda'). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

After some calculation we obtain $\varphi_1(\lambda \geq 0)$

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega e^{-i\omega\lambda} \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left| \frac{d\varepsilon_{2S}^{(0)}}{d\lambda} \right|_B^{-1} (g_S^\pm(\omega) - 1) \tag{16}$$

where

$$g_S^\pm(\omega) = \sqrt{(\pi/S)} \frac{\Gamma(-i\omega/\pi)(-i2S\omega/\varepsilon\pi)^{-i(2S\omega/\pi)}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\omega/\pi)\Gamma(-i2S\omega/\pi)}$$

It is not difficult to show that φ_2 contributes to order $1/B^2$ (or higher), which is not interesting to us. Inserting (14) and (16) into the free energy (13), we obtain $\lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = \frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi] \neq \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = 2S/(1 + S)$. The γ_S in finite field is less than γ_S in zero field as expected since the entropy is reduced in the ordered system. This result also reproduces the one for $S = \frac{1}{2}$ correctly.

Finally let us derive an approximate interpolation formula between the above two values as a function of H/T for very small H and T .

The integral equation (7) yields asymptotically free spin solutions for $\varepsilon_n(\lambda)$ whenever either $|\lambda|$ is large or the string index n is sufficiently away from $2S$. The free spin solution is given by

$$\varepsilon_n = T \ln \sinh^2(n + 1)x_0 / \sinh^2 x_0 \tag{17}$$

where $x_0 = H/T$. Since at low T the free spin solution gives $\varepsilon_n \approx 2nH$, we approximate the integral equation (7) for $n = 2S$ by assuming the free solutions for $m \neq 2S$. We then have an integral equation for $n = 2S$ decoupled from all others, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_{2S}(\lambda) = \tilde{H}_S(T) - 2\pi JG(\lambda) + K * T \ln(1 + e^{\varepsilon_{2S}/T}) \tag{18}$$

where \tilde{H}_S , the effective field induced by the contributions of $\varepsilon_{n \neq 2S}$ at low T , is given by

$$\tilde{H}_S(T) = T \ln \frac{\sinh 2Sx_0 [\sinh(2S + 1)x_0]^{-1+1/2S}}{\sinh x_0} + T \ln \frac{\sinh(2S + 2)x_0}{\sinh(2S + 1)x_0}$$

The first term represents the contributions of $\varepsilon_{n < 2S}$ and vanishes for $S = \frac{1}{2}$ as expected, while the second term is obtained by the approximation for $\varepsilon_{n > 2S}$. \tilde{H}_S yields a correct zero- T limit, i.e., $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}_S(T) = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}_S = H$. However, our approximation does not take into account the zero- H limit appropriately. The simplest way to fix this limit is to introduce a parameter α_S to \tilde{H}_S such that

$$\tilde{H}_S(T) = T \ln \frac{\sinh 2Sx_0 [\sinh(2S + 1)x_0]^{-1+1/2S}}{\sinh x_0} + T \ln \frac{\sinh(\alpha_S + 1)x_0}{\sinh \alpha_S x_0} \tag{19}$$

α_S will be determined later using the zero-field γ_S values. Since equation (18) is just the

integral equation (9) with H being replaced by $\tilde{H}_S(T)$, using the same procedure as before we obtain the linear specific heat coefficient ($J = 1$)

$$\gamma_S(T, H) = \frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi] + (4S/\pi^2)(\partial\tilde{H}_S/\partial T)^2(1 + S/|\ln \tilde{H}_S| - S^2 \ln|\ln \tilde{H}_S|/\ln^2 \tilde{H}_S + \dots). \quad (20)$$

This expression recovers the γ_S values in the zero-temperature limit as expected, i.e., $\frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi]$. While in the zero-field limit, i.e., $x_0 \rightarrow 0$, it yields

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{H \rightarrow 0} \gamma_S = \frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi] + (4S/\pi^2) \ln^2[2S(2S + 1)^{-1+1/2S}(1 + 1/\alpha_S)]. \quad (21)$$

Now we evaluate the parameter α_S by equating the equation (21) to the expected zero-field $\gamma_S = 2S/(1 + S)$. α_S is then given by

$$\alpha_S^{-1} = \exp\{[(\pi^2/4S)(\gamma_S^{\text{zerofield}} - \gamma_S^{\text{infield}})]^{1/2} + \ln(2S + 1)^{1-1/2S}/2S\} - 1$$

where $\gamma_S^{\text{zerofield}} = 2S/(1 + S)$ and $\gamma_S^{\text{infield}} = \frac{1}{3}[1 + \sqrt{S}\Gamma(S)(e/S)^S/\pi]$. Note that our interpolation formula (20) is valid only for $T \ll J$ and $2SH \ll J$. Hence it follows that the linear specific heat coefficient γ_S is monotonically decreasing from the $\gamma_S^{\text{zerofield}}$ value as x_0 is increased, and is rapidly saturated to the $\gamma_S^{\text{infield}}$ value.

We expect that the above arguments for the SU(2)-invariant Heisenberg anti-ferromagnet of arbitrary spin S can be extended to the SU(N)-invariant spin chains with N being $2S + 1$ (Sutherland 1975).

We would like to acknowledge discussions with Professor D C Kim and support from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

References

- Affleck I 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** 746
 Babujian H M *Nucl. Phys.* **B 215** 317
 Griffiths R B 1964 *Phys. Rev.* **A 133** 768
 Kulish P P, Reshetekhin N Yu and Sklyanin E K 1981 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **5** 393
 Lee K J B and Schlottmann P 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **B 36** 446
 ——— 1989 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matt.* **1** 2759
 Sutherland B 1975 *Phys. Rev.* **B 12** 3795